

Spinor representation of the general Lorentz Group for spin 1/2 particles and CPT

Recai Erdem¹

Department of Physics
Izmir Institute of Technology
G.O.P. Bulvari No.16
Izmir, Turkey

We show that the attempt to introduce all of the discrete space-time transformations into the spinor representation of the Lorentz group as wholly independent transformations (as in the vectorial representation) leads to an 8-component spinor representation in general. The first indications seem to imply that CPT can be violated in this formulation without going outside of field theory. However one needs further study to reach a final conclusion.

I. INTRODUCTION

The question of the implementation of the spinor representation of the full Lorentz group including discrete space-time transformations is examined in the excellent study by Wigner [1] and by him and his collaborators [2] and by S. Weinberg [3]. Wigner arrived at the conclusion that the inclusion of time reversal into the spinor representation leads to the doubling of particle states in general. However he dismissed this alternative on empirical grounds because the unusual properties of the mirror particles is not observed among the elementary particles. In spite of this difficulty there are some studies in the literature on how to realize these states in the context of field theory. However these studies either are specific constructs [4,5] or remain as simple models whose phenomenological viability are unclear [6]. One needs a phenomenological scheme which is theoretically well motivated and general enough to embed different cases as subcases. In this study we shall give such a framework. Moreover Wigner considers only usual discrete space-time transformations (essentially CP and T) so that the doubling of the Hilbert space occur at the level of time reversal while in this study we include charge conjugation in our analysis to make the formulation more general and to introduce the doubling in the level of parity. In this way we use the case of parity as a guiding situation to derive concrete results for the case of time reversal. By using this formulation we arrive at the result that the spinor representation of full Lorentz group leads to 8-component spinor representation in general. We show that the validity of CPT theorem in this case is not clear and it needs further study. Moreover in this way the enlargement of the Hilbert space is not restricted to the presence of intrinsic parity and time reversal degrees of freedom in their usual meaning. The term, intrinsic space includes both the intrinsic space in its usual meaning and the internal space dependence associated with the discrete space-time transformation so that our conclusions have a more general applicability. (However we do not consider projective representation of CPT in order to focus on time reversal so

*E-mail:erdem@photon.iyte.edu.tr

that CPT is equivalent to just $CP \times T$ in this study hence there is a doubling of the Hilbert space rather than a quadrupling [2] in general. In this respect this study is less general than [1] and [2].) We also give the outline of a phenomenological scheme where the mirror particles can not be observed at the present low energies and this also suppresses a possible CPT violation which may occur through this formulation.

The general homogenous Lorentz group is defined through its vector representation as the set of transformations, Λ [7,8]

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x'^\mu = (\Lambda x)^\mu = \Lambda^\mu_\rho x^\rho \quad (1)$$

which leaves the quantity $x_\mu x^\mu$ invariant,

$$x.x = x_\mu x^\mu = g_{\mu\tau} x^\tau x^\mu = x'_\mu x'^\mu, \quad (2)$$

$$\text{which implies } g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^\mu_\rho \Lambda^\nu_\tau = g_{\rho\tau} \quad (3)$$

where $x = (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3)$ is an arbitrary vector in Minkowski space and $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ is the metric tensor of this space. From the Eq.(3) one obtains some conditions on the sign of Λ^0_0 and on the value of $\det \Lambda$ which divides the general homogenous Lorentz group, L into four sets of transformations that are not connected to each other through continuous Lorentz transformations [8].

$$\begin{aligned} L_+^\uparrow : \det \Lambda &= +1, \quad \text{sgn } \Lambda^0_0 = 1 \quad \text{which contains } I = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1) \\ L_-^\uparrow : \det \Lambda &= -1, \quad \text{sgn } \Lambda^0_0 = 1 \quad \text{which contains } P = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1) \\ L_+^\downarrow : \det \Lambda &= +1, \quad \text{sgn } \Lambda^0_0 = -1 \quad \text{which contains } PT = \text{diag}(-1, -1, -1, -1) \\ L_-^\downarrow : \det \Lambda &= -1, \quad \text{sgn } \Lambda^0_0 = -1 \quad \text{which contains } T = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where P and T above denote parity and time reversal transformations.

The group of 2-dimensional complex special linear transformations, $SL(2, C)$ defines another representation of Lorentz group because one can represent the 4-dimensional space-time coordinates as a second rank tensor, X of $SL(2, C)$ under boosts and rotations [9]

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 + x_3 & x_1 - ix_2 \\ x_1 + ix_2 & x_0 - x_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \det X = x_\mu x^\mu \quad (5)$$

$$X' = \begin{pmatrix} x'_0 + x'_3 & x'_1 - ix'_2 \\ x'_1 + ix'_2 & x'_0 - x'_3 \end{pmatrix} = X \rightarrow X' = A X A^\dagger \quad (6)$$

where A is a unimodular 2×2 complex matrix and the primes on the x 's denote their Lorentz transformed form. The first rank tensor of $SL(2, C)$, χ which transforms as $\chi \rightarrow A\chi$ is used to define fermionic fields.

After obtaining the Λ^μ_ν 's in terms of the elements of A by using Eq.(6) [10] one notices that $SL(2, C)$ transformations do not correspond to the whole set of the homogenous Lorentz transformations spanned by the group, L . To be more specific such a analysis reveals that $SL(2, C)$ does not correspond to the whole L but to $L_+^\uparrow$. The correspondance between the elements of $SL(2, C)$ and $L_+^\uparrow$ is not a one to one correspondance because to each rotation, R belonging to $SO(3)$ subgroup of $L_+^\uparrow$ there corresponds two unitary matrices $\pm u$ belonging to $SU(2)$ subgroup of $SL(2, C)$. Therefore

$$L_+^\dagger \simeq \frac{SL(2, C)}{Z_2} \quad (7)$$

Moreover there are two representations of $SL(2, C)$, the dotted and the undotted representations denoted by χ_L and χ_R .

The spinors belonging to the dotted and undotted representations, χ_L and χ_R , respectively can be parametrized as

$$\chi_L = \chi \quad \chi_R \sim i\sigma_2 \chi^* \quad (8)$$

so that they transform as [11]

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_L &\rightarrow u_L \chi_L, \quad u_L = A = e^{\frac{i}{2}\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\theta} - i\vec{\nu})} \\ \chi_R &\rightarrow u_R \chi_R, \quad u_R = (A^{-1})^\dagger = i\sigma_2 A^* \sigma_2 = e^{\frac{i}{2}\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\theta} + i\vec{\nu})} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where θ_j and ν_j are the rotation and boost parameters along x_j , respectively.

II. C, P, T, AND SPINOR REPRESENTATION OF LORENTZ GROUP

A. The Standard Formulation

In the absence of any (explicit) internal space dependence (so that χ_L, χ_R can be wholly expressed only in terms of their space-time dependences) one can, for example, parametrize an arbitrary pair of χ_L and χ_R as

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_L &= e^{\frac{i}{2}\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\theta}_{01} - i\vec{\nu}_{01})} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \chi_R &= e^{\frac{i}{2}\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\theta}_{02} + i\vec{\nu}_{02})} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

In the massless case all the invariants of the form $x_\mu x^\mu$ are identically equal to zero

$$x_\mu x^\mu = \det X = 0 \quad (11)$$

One can take two specific X 's; $X_1 = \chi_L \chi_L^\dagger$, $X_2 = \chi_R \chi_R^\dagger$. Of course, $\det X_1 = \det X_2 = 0$, hence

$$\det(X_1 X_2) = 0 = -\chi_R^\dagger \chi_L \chi_L^\dagger \chi_R \Rightarrow \chi_R^\dagger \chi_L = \chi_L^\dagger \chi_R = 0 \quad (12)$$

This sets the form of the spinors (up to a constant) as

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_L &= e^{\frac{i}{2}\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\theta}_0 - i\vec{\nu}_0)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \chi_R &= e^{\frac{i}{2}\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\theta}_0 + i\vec{\nu}_0)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Therefore the left-handed and right-handed spinors in the massless case are not related to each other just as their space reflected form. They are simply related by

$$\chi_R = i\sigma_2\chi^* \quad (14)$$

One observes that χ_L and χ_R are related by time reversal and the simple space reflection does not define a physical spinor. There is only one independent discrete space-time transformation, C' (for the spinor part of the fermion field) which can be interpreted as just the usual time reversal

$$C' = i\sigma_2 K, \quad S \simeq C' = T \quad (15)$$

where K denotes complex conjugation; S and T denote the usual space-reflection and time reversal, respectively. Therefore, in the massless case, the Lorentz group itself specifies the right-handed 2-component spinor, χ_R corresponding to the time reversal of a particular left-handed one, χ_L out of the family of dotted and undotted spinors in Eq.(10). We should remark that although C' acts on the same Hilbert space as $SL(2, C)$ transformations it is not a member of $SL(2, C)$ thus it extends $SL(2, C)$. Although the group which includes the discrete space-time transformations (and acts on the spinors) is given by

$$\frac{SL(2, C)}{Z_2} \otimes D' \quad , \quad D' = (1, C') \quad (16)$$

It is effectively equivalent to $SL(2, C)$ if the dotted and undotted representations are not coupled in the Lagrangian because in that case either the Lagrangian is automatically invariant under C' if there is no internal space differing the dotted and undotted representations or C' is equivalent to an internal space transformation if the dotted and undotted representations differ by an additional internal space dependence.

We have seen above that one can use C' in Eq.(15) to specify the particular right-handed partner, χ_R of a particular left-handed 2-component spinor, χ_L . Moreover in this way one guarantees that χ_L and χ_R transform as left-handed and right spinors as in Eq.(9). Another way to specify a particular χ_R corresponding to a particular χ_L (out of the family of right handed spinors transforming as in Eq.(9) so that their correct transformation properties as in Eq.(9) are guaranteed) is to introduce a fermion mass term for the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_m = m(\chi_L^\dagger \chi_R + \chi_R^\dagger \chi_L) \quad (17)$$

In fact the general transformation rules of χ_L, χ_R given in Eq.(9) embodies the massive Dirac equation in general (and the massless case is a special case where (17) identically vanishes due to the particular relation between χ_L and χ_R as discussed above). One can start from the value of χ_L, χ_R at a particular reference frame and the transformation properties of χ_L, χ_R under $SL(2, C)$ to derive the Dirac equation [5, 12 - 15]. The fact that χ_L and χ_R are coupled (so that they form a (4-component spinor) system moving together) simplifies the form of χ_L and χ_R ($\nu_{01} = \nu_{02}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_L &= e^{\frac{i}{2}\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\theta}_{01} - i\vec{v}_0)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \chi_R &= e^{\frac{i}{2}\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\theta}_{02} + i\vec{v}_0)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

By making the use of Eq.(9) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_L &= e^{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{v}} \chi_R = (\cosh \nu + \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{v} \sinh \nu) \chi_R = \frac{1}{m} (p_0 + \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}) \chi_R \\ \chi_R &= e^{-\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{v}} \chi_L = (\cosh \nu - \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{v} \sinh \nu) \chi_R = \frac{1}{m} (p_0 - \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}) \chi_L \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

which becomes the Dirac equation after the substitution $p_\mu \rightarrow i\partial_\mu$.

Eq.(18) implies that there can be more than one χ_R corresponding to a particular χ_L in the absence of an intrinsic space dependence for the fermions in addition to the usual space-time dependence. Moreover one can differ the left-handed and right-handed fermions through internal space representations as in the standard model. This means that in general the fermions carry an intrinsic space dependence.

If one does not want to have an intrinsic space dependence the only way to make the correct match between χ_L and χ_R with correct transformation property under $SL(2, C)$ is to take $\chi_R = i\sigma_2\chi_L^*$. The resulting 4-component spinor is the Majorana spinor

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi \\ i\sigma_2\chi^* \end{pmatrix} \quad (20)$$

where both components of χ are non zero in contrary to the situation in Eq.(13). There is only one independent discrete transformation

$$P = \gamma^0, \quad S \simeq P \simeq e^{i\beta T} \quad (21)$$

where β is an unobservable phase factor and S stands for the usual space reflection (which is a specific case of P). In this particular case one can write P in an equivalent way to Eq.(21)

$$P = C'' = \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma_2 K & 0 \\ 0 & -i\sigma_2 K \end{pmatrix} \quad (22)$$

Although in this case the spinor apparently is 4-component, in fact, it is equivalent to a single 2-component theory since the Dirac equation reduces to a single equation in terms of a 2-component spinor and its complex conjugate. Therefore the situation is the same as the massless case with respect to the number of independent discrete transformations accommodated in the spinor representation of the Lorentz group. The relevant group for the spinor representation of the Lorentz group is given by

$$\frac{SL(2, C)}{Z_2} \otimes D, \quad D = (1, P) \quad (23)$$

because χ_L and χ_R are related by P through the mass term. Here D denotes a Z_2 group which is generated by C'' in Eq.(22) and so effectively by the elements $(1, i\sigma_2 K)$ where K denotes the complex conjugation acting only on the spinor part of fermion field. However since $i\sigma_2 K$ simply generates the transformation from the fundamental representation to the conjugate representation the group generating the spinor representation is effectively $SL(2, C)$ in this case as well.

We had seen in the previous paragraphs that in general the relation between χ_L and χ_R is not as simple as the massless case and the Majorana case. There can be an additional source of difference between χ_L and χ_R in addition to their transformation rules under $SL(2, C)$, namely the difference between their form at their rest frame as can be inferred from Eq.(18). Moreover χ_L and χ_R may differ in their internal group content as in the case of the standard model. So one should include these type of intrinsic space dependences through an additional Z_2 transformation acting on the intrinsic space in addition to the usual $SL(2, C)$ transformations when one wants to relate χ_L and χ_R . The general 4-component spinor can be parametrized as [15]

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(p_2) \\ \psi_2(p_1) \end{pmatrix}, \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ \chi_R \end{pmatrix} \quad (24)$$

However a single 4-component spinor notation is not the accurate tool in this case because the left-handed and right-handed components couple differently in general (for example through gauge interactions). So the correct procedure is to define two 4-component spinors defined by

$$\Psi_L = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(p_2) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi_2(p_1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \chi_R \end{pmatrix} \quad (25)$$

and the operation of parity is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} P : \Psi_L &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi_2(p_1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \chi_R \end{pmatrix} = \Psi_R \\ P : \Psi_R &= \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(p_2) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \Psi_L \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where p_1, p_2 stand for the space-time part of ψ and denote the dotted and undotted representations of $SL(2, C)$, the subscripts 1 and 2 denote the intrinsic space dependence.

The generalization of the Majorana spinor through the introduction of the intrinsic degree of freedom results in a new nontrivial discrete transformation (in addition to P), charge conjugation, C given by,

$$C : \Psi_{L(R)} = \gamma^2 \Psi_{L(R)}^* \quad (27)$$

The symetries related to both P and C are observable because one can couple the same gauge field $/B$ both to $P : \Psi_{L(R)}$ and $\Psi_{L(R)}$ ($C : \Psi_{L(R)}$ and $\Psi_{L(R)}$) in a Lorentz invariant way to detect any possible violation of the symmetry.

When the intrinsic space dependence is omitted (i.e. in the Majorana case) C becomes equivalent to identity because in that case Ψ_L and Ψ_R can be combined in a single 4-component spinor ψ of the form of Eq.(20) since there is no intrinsic space differentiating χ_L, χ_R (for example through gauge intercatons) and hence

$$C : \psi = \psi \quad (28)$$

in the case of Majorana spinors. This reveals that the emergence of C as a nontrivial additional independent discrete transformation is related to the introduction of the intrinsic parity degree of freedom. T is found to be equivalent to CP up to an unobservable phase factor and a gamma matrix in the case of spinors and they are wholly equivalent for the physical obseables. In this case the relevant group for the spinor representation of the Lorentz group is

$$\frac{SL(2, C)}{Z_2} \otimes \frac{S' \otimes Z'_2}{Z_2}, \quad S' = (1, S) \quad (29)$$

where S stands for the ordinary space reflection and Z'_2 stands for the intrinsic space. The Z_2 term in the denominator of $S \otimes Z'_2$ is introduced in order to avoid fermion doubling [16,17] so that the dotted (undotted) representation of $SL(2, C)$ is associated with the subscript 2(1) in Eq.(25). One should be careful in handling Eq.(29) because Z'_2

does not act on the whole fermionic field but only on its space-time part. The fact that CP is a good symmetry of nature combined with the above group structure explains (at least at presently attainable relatively low energies) the rather restricted form of the physical Lagrangian, for example, the possibility of obtaining the general form of the standard model Lagrangian under the requirement of an intrinsic parity invariance [18]. The conclusion of the above analysis be summarized as follows: **If one wants to accomodate more than one discrete space-time transformation into the spinor representation of Lorentz group one must introduce (an) intrinsic degree(s) of freedom accomponying the corresponding discrete space-time transformations and one should incerease the number of the components of the spinor accordingly.** In fact mathematically this not an unexpected result. Under the group $SL(2, C)$ which corresponds to spinor representation of Lorentz group all discrete space-time transformations are equivalent, that is, they induce interchange of the dotted and undotted representations of $SL(2, C)$. In order to differentiate them as in the vectorial representation of the general Lorentz group one must introduce extra (intrinsic) degrees of freedom.

B. Full Implementation of the Discrete Space-Time Transformations in the Spinor Representation: 8-Component Formalism

One can follow the same procedure in the case of parity for time reversal to introduce it as an independent discrete space-time transformation in the context of the spinor representation of the Lorentz group. We extend the definition of time reversal, up to an observable phase, as the usual time reversal followed by an intrinsic time reversal transformation (the intrinsic parity degree of freedom can identified, for example, with the different transformation properties of ψ_1 and ψ_2 under the internal group as in the standard model in the case of parity), that is,

$$\mathcal{T} : \psi_{1(2)}(p_{1(2)}) \rightarrow T : \psi_{2(1)}(p_{1(2)}) \quad (30)$$

up to some phase factor and we couple ψ_1, ψ_2 through the following interaction

$$\mathcal{L} = m\bar{\psi}_1\psi_1 + m\bar{\psi}_2\psi_2 + M\psi_1^\dagger\psi_2 + M\psi_2^\dagger\psi_1 = \Psi^\dagger M' \Psi \quad (31)$$

$$M' = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^0 m & M \\ M & \gamma^0 m \end{pmatrix} \quad \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (32)$$

After the inclusion of the fermion kinetic term the Lagrangian becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi} D_\mu \Gamma^\mu \Psi + \bar{\Psi} \tilde{M} \Psi \quad (33)$$

$$\tilde{M} = \begin{pmatrix} m & \gamma^0 M \\ \gamma^0 M & m \end{pmatrix} \quad \Gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^\mu & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^\mu \end{pmatrix} \quad (34)$$

which is written in the 8-component spinor formulation [16] (the convention for Γ^μ matrices here is different than the one in Ref.16 but they can be turned to each other). The diagonalization of $\tilde{M}$ results in two 4-component spinors ψ, ψ^c ,

$$\psi \propto M\psi_1 - m\gamma^0\psi_2, \quad \psi^c \propto m\gamma^0\psi_1 + M\psi_2 \quad (35)$$

So the physical fermions are the combination of a set of fermions with the charge conjugates of another set of fermions. If one identifies ψ_1 with usual particles then the conservation of electric charge allows the mixing in Eq.(35) only for neutrinos. The extended time reversal, $\mathcal{T}$ can be written explicitly as

$$\mathcal{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\eta} T \\ e^{-i\eta} T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } T = i\gamma^1\gamma^3 \quad (36)$$

Here T denotes the usual time reversal transformation. The above form of $\mathcal{T}$ which is introduced by S. Weinberg [3] includes the two cases, $\mathcal{T}^2 = 1$ and $\mathcal{T}^2 = -1$ in [1,2] as subcases. $\mathcal{T}^2 = 1$ and $\mathcal{T}^2 = -1$ correspond to $e^{i\eta} = 1$ and $e^{i\eta} = i$, respectively. Although the operator $\mathcal{T}^2$ generate superselection rules for $\mathcal{T}^2 = \pm 1$ (because it commutes with all operators) This is not true for arbitrary value of η because in that case $\mathcal{T}^2$ is not proportional with unit matrix in general. This allows mixing of the particle states belonging to the Hilbert spaces denoted by the subscripts 1 and 2 in Eq.(31). This mixing, in turn, makes it impossible to absorb the phase η into redefinition ψ_1 and ψ_2 . The relevant group for the spinor representation in this case is generated by the following class of transformations

$$\frac{SL(2, C)}{Z_2} \otimes \frac{S \otimes Z'_2}{Z_2} \otimes \frac{T \otimes Z''_2}{Z_2} \quad (37)$$

where T stands for the usual time reversal and Z''_2 stands for the intrinsic time reversal degree of freedom. The corresponding groups for the subcase $\mathcal{T}^2 = \pm 1$ (and $\mathcal{CPT}^2 = \pm 1$) are derived in Ref.1. The explicit form of the relevant group in the present case depends on the value of η and this point remains outside the main objective of this study.

We want to make a comment at this point: In the light of the present study the emergence of a 8-component formulation in the construct of D.V. Ahluwalia for neutral spin 1/2 particles [5,16,19] through the careful analysis of Ref.16 is not surprising. As we have seen the only possible 4-component spinor which can be constructed without the use of an intrinsic space is the Majorana spinor. The modification of Majorana spinor even with introduction of a phase factor implies differing of χ_L and χ_R by an intrinsic space dependence in addition to the usual space reflection or time reversal. In the Ahluwalia's construct the upper and lower components of the (true) original Majorana spinor are related by the usual time reversal and then a intrinsic space dependence differentiating self-conjugate and anti-self-conjugate (Majorana) spinors is introduced and finally an intrinsic parity degree of freedom is introduced to double the number of 4-component spinors so that the most convenient tool to handle this formulation is 8-component spinor representation. This construct can be understood as a special case of the general formulation given here because there are only two differences between these constructs: i) the order of introducing intrinsic parity and intrinsic time reversal are different. ii) the form of intrinsic time reversal in Ahluwalia's construct has a rather specific form while the intrinsic time reversal introduced here has a general form.

III. 8-COMPONENT FORMULATION AND CPT

The Lagrangian in Eq.(31) is invariant under the interchange of the subscripts 1 and 2. One can also take the other terms of the full Lagrangian invariant under this interchange. In this case T transformation will be equivalent to time reversal so that CPT theorem necessarily holds. However one can make the Lagrangian non-invariant under this interchange, for example, by taking the mass term in front of $\bar{\psi}_1\psi_1$ to be different than the one in front of $\bar{\psi}_2\psi_2$

$$\mathcal{L} = m\bar{\psi}_1\psi_1 + m'\bar{\psi}_2\psi_2 + M\psi_1^\dagger\psi_2 + M\psi_2^\dagger\psi_1 = \Psi^\dagger M' \Psi \quad (38)$$

In this case CPT invariance is not automatic as we shall see below.

CPT theorem states that the condition of weak local commutivity for fields (which is satisfied by all reasonable fields) is enough for the following equation to be satisfied [8]

$$(\Psi_0, \phi_\mu(x_1)\phi_\nu(x_2)\dots\dots\phi_\rho(x_n)\Psi_0) = i^F(-1)^J(\Psi_0, \phi_\mu(-x_1)\phi_\nu(-x_2)\dots\dots\phi_\rho(-x_n)\Psi_0) \quad (39)$$

(where F stands for the number of Majorana type fermion bilinears J is the magnitude of angular momentum; $\phi_\eta(x_k)$ is the field operator (or a fermion bilinear in the case of fermion) at the point x_k ; the subscripts $\mu, \nu, \dots$ stand for possible additional degrees of freedom for the fields (or bilinears) as the components of vectors for vector fields.) provided the fields satisfy the following axioms

I. For each test function f defined on space-time, there exists a set of $\phi_1(f), \phi_2(f), \dots, \phi_n(f)$ and their adjoints which are defined on a domain D of vectors dense in the Hilbert space, $\mathcal{H}$. Furthermore D is a linear space containing Ψ_0 . All the vectors obtained after the application of (i- the Lorentz group transformations, ii- the field operators) are in the physical Hilbert space, $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover for all $\Phi, \Psi \in D \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $\phi_\mu(f)$ being a field defined as a functional of the test function f , $(\Phi, \phi_\mu(f)\Psi)$ is a tempered distribution.

II. The equation

$$U(\Lambda, a)\phi_j(f)U(\Lambda, a)^{-1} = \sum S_{jk}(a^{-1})\phi_k(\{\Lambda, a\}f) \quad (40)$$

is satisfied; here $\{\Lambda, a\}f = f(a^{-1}(x - a))$ and $U(\Lambda, a)$ is the unitary representation of Poincare Group with Λ corresponding to the homogenous Lorentz group and a to the translations.

In the case of 4-component spinors CPT invariance reduces to the validity of Eq.(39). This can be seen as follows: Under the discrete space-time transformations fermions transform as [20]

$$\begin{aligned} P : \psi(\vec{x}, t) &\rightarrow e^{i\beta_P}\gamma^0\psi(-\vec{x}, t) \quad C : \psi(\vec{x}, t) \rightarrow ie^{i\beta_C}\gamma^2\psi^*(\vec{x}, t) \quad T : \psi(\vec{x}, t) \rightarrow ie^{i\beta_T}\gamma^1\gamma^3\psi(-\vec{x}, t) \\ CPT : \psi(\vec{x}, t) &\rightarrow -i\gamma_5\psi^*(-\vec{x}, -t) = -ie^{i(\beta_C - \beta_P - \beta_T)}\gamma^0\gamma_5\bar{\psi}^T(-\vec{x}, -t) \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

here ψ denotes the whole fermionic field (not just the spinor part on the contrary to the previous sections). The fermion bilinear $X^{AB} = \bar{\psi}^A\Gamma\psi^A$, under CPT , transforms as

$$\begin{aligned} CPT : \bar{\psi}^A\Gamma\psi^B &\rightarrow \bar{\psi}^B\Gamma'\psi^A \\ \Gamma' &= \Gamma \quad \text{for } \Gamma = 1, i\gamma_5, \sigma_{\mu\nu} = [\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu] \\ \Gamma' &= -\Gamma \quad \text{for } \Gamma = \gamma_\mu, \gamma_5\gamma_\mu \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where the superscripts A, B denote different species of fermions. After contraction of X^{BA} with itself or with other X^{AB} 's and comparing it with Hermitian conjugate of the original terms result in Eq.(39). One can perform a similar and simpler procedure for the other type of fields (i.e. for scalars, vector fields, etc.) to get the same conclusion. So in the usual 4-component spinor case the validity of Eq.(39) is equivalent to CPT invariance. However in the case of extended-T

$$CPT : \Psi(\vec{x}, t) \rightarrow -iL^0 e^{i(\alpha_P + \alpha_C + \alpha_T)} \gamma^0 \gamma_5 \bar{\Psi}^T(-\vec{x}, -t), \quad L^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\eta} I_4 \\ e^{-i\eta} I_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (43)$$

One can see from the above derivation that CPT invariance is not equivalent to the validity of Eq.(39) in this case. For example

$$CPT : \bar{\Psi}^A \tilde{M} \Psi^B \rightarrow \bar{\Psi}^B L^0 \tilde{M} L^0 \Psi^A \quad (44)$$

So the requirement of the equality of the Hermitian conjugate of Eq.(44) to the original term does not imply Eq.(39). If one takes T as the usual time reversal one obtains Eq.(39) but then the hypothesis II., Eq.(40) is not satisfied because ψ_1 mixes with ψ_2 so ψ_1 does not span the whole physical Hilbert space so that one can not guarantee a Fourier series in terms of ψ_1 is enough to express the left hand side of Eq.(40). Therefore it seems that CPT theorem does not hold in this case.

One can understand the above conclusion through a more physical argument. After one introduces an intrinsic parity a PT transformation (on the spinor part of the fermion field) in the spinor representation of the Lorentz group is not equivalent to identity because P is not the simple space reflection anymore. However one can introduce the charge conjugation, C so that CP is effectively equivalent to space reflection so that CPT on the spinor part of the fermion field is equivalent to identity. Therefore, in analogy with the 4-component case, after introduction of an intrinsic time reversal degree of freedom one expects to have a $\tilde{C}$ transformation so that $CPT\tilde{C}$ is the exact symmetry of nature. However in order to arrive to a final conclusion on CPT invariance of 8-component spinor representation introduced here one needs further, more rigorous and more detailed analysis on the subject. A more detailed study to check CPT theorem in this case will be an important task to have a better insight on the subject in future. Another point to mention is that (without an ad hoc CPT invariance requirement) the simplest scheme consistent with the experimental bounds on CPT violation is to take m' in Eq.(38) to be very heavy and M sufficiently small so that at low energies all the forces interact with the mirror particles, ψ_2 extremely weakly. In this way one can not observe the additional degree of freedom associated with the extended time reversal so that CPT transformation is equivalent to the usual CPT transformation and thus CPT is essentially conserved. All these points need further study to have a clear picture.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this study we have shown that only one independent discrete space-time transformation (for example parity) can be formulated in addition to the continuous Lorentz transformations in the spinor representation of the Lorentz group. The attempt to accommodate the other discrete space time transformation (for example time reversal) as an independent transformation in the spinor representation requires the introduction of further intrinsic degrees of freedom and this leads to an 8-component spinor notation as the most convenient choice. The first indications seem to imply that it may be possible to violate CPT in this scheme without going outside of field theory. However a final decision requires a further rigorous study on the subject. In any case such a study will be useful to get a better insight to the problem of accommodation of discrete space-time transformations in the spinor representation of the general Lorentz group. We also believe that the studies in this direction will also be useful to have a deeper understanding of the notion of mirror

particles [21]. Another point to be addressed in the future studies is the geometrization of the time reversal as done in Ref.15 in order to get the all aspects of the extended time reversal defined in this study in a complete and consistent way.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Professor R.N. Mohapatra for his encouragements to present my findings on the topic.

- [1] E.P. Wigner, in *Group Theoretical Concepts and Methods in Elementary Particle Physics, Lectures of the Istanbul Summer School of Theoretical Physics*, edited by F. Gürsey (Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1964)
- [2] R.M.F. Houtappel, H. Van Dam and E.P. Wigner, *Rev.Mod.Phys.* **37**, 595 (1965)
- [3] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Thoery of Fields Vol.I Foundations* (Cambridge University Press, 1995), Appendix C of Section 2.
- [4] D.V. Ahluwalia, M.B. Johnson and T. Goldman, *Phys.Lett. B* **316**, 102 (1993)
- [5] D.V. Ahluwalia, *Int.J.Mod.Phys. A* **11**, 1855 (1996)
- [6] J. Giesen, preprint, hep-th/9410236
- [7] W. Rühl, *Lorentz Group and Harmonic Analysis* (W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1970)
- [8] R.F. Streater, A.S. Wightman *PCT, Spin and Statistics, and All That* (W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1964)
- [9] M.A. Naimark, *Linear Representations of the Lorentz Group* (Pergamon, London, 1964)
- [10] For the details see Chapter 1 of Ref.7
- [11] P. Ramond, *Field Theory: A Modern Primer* (Benjamin/Cummins, Reading Mass., 1990)
- [12] L.H. Ryder, *Quantum Field Theory* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1986)
- [13] F.H. Gailo and T.G. Alvarez, *Am.J.Phys* **63**, 177 (1995); hep-th/9807211
- [14] V.V. Dvoeglazov, *Hadronic J. Suppl.* **10**, 349 (1995) 349
- [15] R. Erdem, *Mod.Phys.Lett A* **13**, 465 (1998)
- [16] V.V. Dvoeglazov, *Nuovo Cim. A* **108**, 1467 (1995)
- [17] G. Ziino, *Int.J.Mod.Phys. A* **11**, 2081 (1996)
- [18] R. Erdem, *Phys.Rev. D* **52**, 3072 (1995)

- [19] V.V. Dvoeglazov, *Mod.Phys.Lett A* **12**, 2741 (1997)
- [20] J.D. Bjorken and S.D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Fields* (McGraw-Hill, USA, 1965)
- [21] Z.G. Berezhiani and R.N. Mohapatra, *Phys.Rev. D* **52**, 6607 (1995);
R. Foot and R. Volkas, *Phys.Rev. D* **52**, 6595 (1995);
B. Brahmachari and R.N. Mohapatra, hep-ph/9805429